Received: 06/30/2009

LAW OFFICES ALBERT A. NATOLI, P.C.

THE WOOLWORTH BUILDING • 233 BROADWAY, SUITE 810 • NEW YORK, NY 10279-0815 TELEPHONE: (212) 619-8087 • FACSIMILE: (877) 770-8087

June 29, 2009

Jaclyn A. Brilling, Esq.
Secretary to the Commission
NYS Public Service Commission
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223-1350

RE: Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. Application to Increase Rates

Dear Secretary Brilling:

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. ("EGLLM" or the "Company"), provides water service to about 845 seasonal and all-year-round customers in real estate developments known as Lake Louise Marie and Emerald Green in Sullivan County. No fire protection service is provided.

EGLLM is wholly-owned by the Emerald Green Property Owners Association ("Emerald Green POA"). The Company was acquired from the County after a long period in which the ownership of the Company was at issue and the operation of the Company was closely supervised by the Department of Public Service ("DPS") and other regulators to ensure that service continued. Approximately 75% of the customers are members of the Emerald Green POA. Since the Company did not provide a return on rate base or cover its operating costs, it had to use short-term lines of credit to cover costs.

EGLLM continues to have many problems that developed during the extended period in which ownership was controverted. During that period, there was no planning, replacement of facilities was on an *ad hoc* basis, and repairs and maintenance were deferred. EGLLM is addressing these repair issues. In addition, the Company must assure the protection and continuation of the water supply. EGLLM believes it is essential that professional management assume the responsibilities for the management, planning, and supervision of the system in order to assure safe and adequate service.

By this letter, EGLLM is filing to increase its rates by 38.7%, to implement a seasonal rate, and to implement a rate escalator. The bill for customers who maintain annual service will increase to \$671.54 from \$454.00, a 47.92% increase. Seasonal customers will be charged \$504.45 per season.

Five copies of this letter of transmittal, the associated tables in support of the rate increase, and three proposed tariff leaves are enclosed. The Company's proposed tariff revisions are:

4th Revised Leaf 12 Original Leaf 13 Original Leaf 14, Statement No. 2

The rates are filed to become effective October 1, 2009.

The increase is necessary to fund substantial increases in operating expenses as well as the need to fairly compensate the professional management. The filing is based on a pre-tax rate of return of 11.5%. This rate of return falls within the pre-tax rates of return for small water companies as reported in the PSC's decision in Case 08-W-0081 – Minor Rate Filing of Top 'O the World Water Co., Inc., to increase its annual revenues by about \$154,732 or 241%, dated December 16, 2008. It is important to note that if the Company was to earn this full rate of return, the debt service on existing loans would only leave approximately \$9,000 of utility operating income. This remainder is small when compared to the Company's total operating expenses and provides little for unexpected repairs and replacements and increased expenses.

EGLLM is migrating from a barely adequate part-time operation to a professionally managed water supplier. In this rate application, EGLLM seeks to recover the costs it will incur to implement professional management and supervision and has contracted to have these duties performed professionally. Such improved planning and service comes with increased cost. Since the Emerald Green POA is a not-for-profit organization and approximately 75% of the customers are members of the POA, the Company is very aware of its costs and rates and determined that the cost to manage, supervise, and operate the system is cost effective and fair to the customers. Importantly, all of the funds generated through rates are used for the utility's purposes or are reinvested to improve the Company's operations.

The requested charges for labor are reasonable since they were established in a competitive environment and are less than that charged and approved by similarly sized companies. Among the tables included with this filing is a comparison of the projected labor cost with the labor cost of similar water utilities, Schedule 2, page 4. The rates for these other water utilities and the included labor costs have been approved by the Commission. The proposed labor cost for EGLLM is more than 16% less than that approved for the Fishers Island Water Company and 12% less than Aqua Water-New York, Inc.

A number of customers have demanded seasonal service. To accommodate these customers and others, the seasonal rate is being proposed. The proposed seasonal rate recognizes that there are some marginal cost savings when a customer uses no water during the off-peak season. Therefore, the proposed seasonal rate gives the seasonal customer a 20% discount from the flat annual rate.

EGLLM is also proposing a rate escalator that is included in the tariff. The rate escalator is based on the Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewer Management which is maintained by the United States Department of Labor. The index appears to track the increase in the cost of water and sewerage maintenance in urban communities and should reasonably track the increases in cost that the Company will experience. This method of automatic increases has been approved by several towns in New York State for privately owned sewerage service utilities.

The index is prepared and reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and is available to the public on the Bureau's website. Since the Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewer Maintenance is publicly available, the Department of Public Service and the customers can independently check the computation and be confident that the increase supplied was calculated accurately.

Water and Sewerage Maintenance Price Index-All Urban Consumers¹

Web Location: http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/srgate

Series ID: CUUR0000SEHG01

Not Seasonally Adjusted Area: U.S. city average

Item: Water and sewerage maintenance

Base Period: 1982-84=100

Data:

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2007 | 305.367 | 307.203 | 308.184 | 308.901 | 310.001 | 310.542 | 314.165 | 315.830 | 316.390 | 316.869 | 318.703 | 319.460 |
| 2008 | 322.470 | 324.418 | 325.023 | 325.327 | 326.259 | 327.152 | 330.693 | 335.915 | 336.067 | 339.437 | 341.181 | 341.965 |
| 2009 | 344.374 | 345.232 | 346.222 | 347.420 | | | | | | | | |

Each year the Company will calculate the percent increase and apply that increase to the then-existing rates. The percent increase would be calculated as follows:

- 1. Select "X" as the monthly index reported for the month that is three months before the anniversary of the then-current rate,
- 2. Select "Y" as the monthly index reported for the month that is one year and three months before X.
- 3. Calculate the percent increase as

% Increase = (X-Y)/Y

¹ At the government's web site, http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/srgate, type Series ID: CUUR0000SEHG01; select 2, Table (Rows: Year, Columns: Period); HTML Tables: Yes; Catalog: Yes; and Delimiter: Space. The table will be generated by the web site.

The Company will then keep a record of the computation of the annual increase; file the rate page of its tariff with a new page showing the new rates; send a copy of the computation to the PSC for its records and review; and notify its customers of the rate and the basis for the new rate in the first bill being sent with the new rate.

This process will avoid the cost of rate applications for the Company and minimize the cost that the PSC would incur to review the applications. Also, since the cost incurred by the utility to file rates are included in rates, future rates could be lower than they otherwise would be when compared with rate increases generated by repeated rate applications. In addition, customers would be protected from unnecessarily high rate increases since the Public Service Law allows the Commission to review the Company's rates at any time. The Company would be protected from a loss of earnings since it could apply for rates if the automatic increases do not adequately reflect the actual increases in cost that it experiences.

EGLLM will notify each customer of the proposed increase by mail and, therefore, it is requesting waiver of the statutorily required newspaper publication. The Company is also requesting that it be allowed to base its filing on a calendar 2008 base year. The enclosed supporting tables detail and explain the increase. Additional workpapers will be provided to the Staff of the Department of Public Service.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

/S

Albert A. Natoli on behalf of Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc.

AAN:khn Enclosures

CC: Mr. James Evensen (by e-mail)

Ms. Tina Williams (by e-mail)

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. Summary Income Statements

| | | | | Pro Forma | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | Actual | Budget | Year E | nding | 6/30/2010 | |
| | | 2008 | 2009 | Current Rates | Rate Increase | Proposed Rates | |
| Operating Revenue | Sch. 2 | \$377,026 | \$383,630 | \$383,630 | \$148,565 | \$532,195 | |
| | | | | | 38.7% | | |
| Operating Revenue Deductions | | | | | | | |
| Total O&M Expenses | Sch. 2 | \$320,249 | \$411,760 | \$445,957 | | \$448,928 | |
| Depreciation and Amortizations | Sch. 4 | 21,441 | 25,000 | 11,541 | | 11,541 | |
| Total Operating Expenses | | \$341,690 | \$436,760 | \$457,498 | | \$460,469 | |
| Operating Taxes | Sch. 2 | 14,269 | 27,326 | 23,066 | | 23,066 | |
| Total Operating Rev. Deductions | | \$355,959 | \$464,085 | \$480,564 | | \$483,535 | |
| Utility Operating Income | | \$21,067 | (\$80,455) | (\$96,934) | \$148,560 | \$48,660 | |
| Rate Base | Sch. 4 | | | \$423,090 | | \$423,090 | |
| Rate Of Return (Pre-tax) | | | | -22.9% | | 11.5% | |

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. Detailed Income Statements

| Detail | Detailed meome Statements | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | Forma | Explanation of | | |
| | Actual 2008 | Budget 2009 | Year Ending Current Rates | 6/30/2010 Proposed Rates | Adjustments | | |
| Number of Customers | | 845 | 845 | Proposed Rates 845 | Sch. 2, p. 2 | | |
| Revenues | 830 | 843 | 843 | 843 | | | |
| Year-Round Customers | | | | \$425,756 | | | |
| Seasonal Customers | | | | 106,439 | | | |
| Total Revenues | \$377,026 | \$383,630 | \$383,630 | \$532,195 | (1) | | |
| | , | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 700-,000 | (-) | | |
| Operation and Maintenance | | | | | | | |
| Purchased Fuel, Power & Water Power Purchased | \$32,431 | \$33,600 | \$32,431 | \$32,431 | (2) | | |
| Gas | 19,406 | 25,099 | 25,099 | 25,099 | (3) | | |
| Licenses & Permits | 1,626 | 1,100 | 100 | 100 | (4) | | |
| Materials & Supplies | 13,083 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | (5) | | |
| Purification, Chemicals | 13,180 | 15,361 | 15,361 | 15,361 | (6) | | |
| Repairs and Maintenance | 79,921 | 78,000 | 78,000 | 78,000 | (7) | | |
| Security | 374 | 299 | 299 | 299 | (8) | | |
| Testing of Water & Chemicals | 12,114 | 20,480 | 20,480 | 20,480 | (9) | | |
| Transportation | 10,390 | 10,250 | 10,250 | 10,250 | (10) | | |
| <u>Labor Related Expenses</u> | ŕ | , | | , | (11) | | |
| Office Personnel | | 2,426 | 2,426 | 2,426 | (12) | | |
| Plant Operations Salary or Contract | \$78,960 | 106,040 | 106,040 | 106,040 | (13) | | |
| Supervision & Management Salary or Contract | 20,160 | 60,840 | 60,840 | 60,840 | (14) | | |
| Insurance, Labor Related | | | | | | | |
| Health Insurance | 5,091 | 5,928 | 5,928 | 5,928 | (15) | | |
| Insurance - Workers' Compensation | | 6,591 | 6,591 | 6,591 | (16) | | |
| Insurance - Disability | (13) | 18 | 18 | 18 | (17) | | |
| Administration & Overheads | | | | | | | |
| General Insurance | 14,101 | 12,778 | 12,778 | 12,778 | (18) | | |
| <u>Professional Fees</u> | | | | | | | |
| Accounting | 2,818 | 700 | 700 | 700 | (19) | | |
| Legal | 1,285 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | (20) | | |
| PSC Expenses | 19 | 0 | 7,673 | 10,644 | (21) | | |
| Telephone | 2,529 | 3,972 | 3,972 | 3,972 | (22) | | |
| Office Expense | 1,309 | 3,600 | 3,600 | 3,600 | (23) | | |
| Bad Debt Expense | (16) | 2.600 | 0 | 0 | (24) | | |
| Rent | 3,600 | 3,600 | 3,600 | 3,600 | (25) | | |
| Miscellaneous | 20 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (26) | | |
| Bank Charges | 30 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (26) | | |
| Cable Expense | 853 | 2.579 | 0 | 0 3,578 | (27) | | |
| Dues & Subscriptions Printing | 4,778 287 | 3,578 | 3,578 | 3,378 | (28) (29) | | |
| Postage & Mailing | 848 | 0 | | | (29) | | |
| Projected Increase in Expenses | 040 | O | 28,694 | 28,694 | (30) | | |
| Total O&M Expenses | \$320,249 | \$411,760 | \$445,957 | \$448,928 | (30) | | |
| | ψ2 2 0,2.5 | Ψ.11,700 | ψ,,,,,,, | ψo,> 2 0 | | | |
| Amortizations | | | ¢2.000 | ¢2.000 | (21) | | |
| Rate Case Exp. | ¢21 441 | ¢25 000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | (31) | | |
| Depreciation | \$21,441 | \$25,000 | \$9,541 | \$9,541 | (32) | | |
| Operating Taxes | | | | | | | |
| Property Taxes | \$8,590 | \$13,239 | \$13,239 | 13,239 | (33) | | |
| Income Taxes | 0 | 4,260 | | | (34) | | |
| Payroll Taxes | 5,679 | 9,826 | 9,826 | 9,826 | (35) | | |
| Total Operating Taxes | \$14,269 | \$27,326 | \$23,066 | \$23,066 | | | |
| Total Operating Revenue Deductions | \$355,959 | \$464,085 | \$480,564 | \$483,535 | | | |
| Utility Operating Income | \$21,067 | (\$80,455) | (\$96,934) | \$48,660 | (36) | | |
| Rate Base | | | \$423,090 | \$423,090 | (37) | | |
| Rate of Return (pre-tax) | | | -22.9% | 11.5% | (38) | | |

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. Explanation of Adjustment on Detailed Income Statement

- (1) The revenue under the proposed rates is shown on Schedule 3.
- (2) The anticipated increase in the cost of purchased power is included in the overall cost escalator shown on Schedule 2, page 3.
- (3) Budgeted for 1,400 gals @ \$2.36/gal plus tax per month from Nov-May. Also included is 4 RCC charges @ \$4.31/per month from Nov-May.
- (4) Budget for 2009 included PSC Assessment of 1,000 per year. The PSC has been estimated at 2% since the proposed rates are in excess of \$500,000.
- (5) Budgeted \$1,250 per month for materials and supplies based on prior years' expenses.
- (6) Budgeted 26-55 gal Alum Chlorhydrate @ an avg of \$400 + tax /55 gal, and 1,100 gal Chlorine @ \$2.53 + tax/gal, based on prior years' usage.
- (7) Budgeted \$500 per month for repairs and maintenance on the plant plus \$6,000 per month for excavating and leak repairs on the mains and services all on prior years' expenses.
- (8) Budgeted based on 2008 costs of \$252 plus tax for the annual monitoring to be paid in October for November renew & \$12 plus tax for the daily tests which is paid in December for January 2009 renewal. Finally a 5% projected increase was expected in January 2009.
- (9) Budgeted \$40 per month for monthly water testing from the Town of Thompson plus \$20,000 for add'l water testing.
- (10) Budgeted \$153.26 per pay period for the truck lease that is reimbursed to operator for each pay period plus the expected charges for fuel for the truck and truck repairs.
- (11) The total cost related to labor expense is summarized on Schedule 2, page 4. Also, on that schedule, the proposed labor expenses are shown as lower than the cost incurred and allowed to similar-sized water utilities.
- (12) Budgeted \$40 per month for monthly administrative base fee, \$67.91 per pay period for small business package and \$15 per month for delivery charges.
- (13) Budgeted for one manager at \$2,100 per pay period and one full time worker at \$13/hr for 80 hrs per pay period, plus a \$200 Christmas bonus per employee, and \$2,000 per month for Richard Cain.
- (14) Spinnaker property management contract rate of \$6 per user per month. There were 845 as of 01/01/09.
- (15) The health insurance policy with Aetna for the period from 06/15/08 to 06/15/09 is for \$758 per employee less a contribution of \$264 per month.
- (16) Based on State Insurance Fund 2007 rate of \$6.14 per \$100 of wages plus/minus NYS Insurance Fund assessments/discounts.
- (17) Budget based on minimum premium of \$80 offset by employee contributions of \$1.20 per pay period for each of the two employees.
- (18) Budget based on 07/08 policy amounts for General Insurance of \$7,592.79 (prorated), D&O \$1,399, Excess Liability -\$2000 plus 10% for possible increase in the 09/10 policies; also budgeted \$43.46 per pay period for the truck insurance that is reimbursed to contract operator for each pay period.
- (19) Budget based on \$600 paid to Cooper & Niemann for services associated with 2007 plus \$100 for possible increase
- (20) Fees for general legal representation is based upon an average of the charges for 2005 through 2008.
- (21) PSC assessment is based upon the recent changes in the law and that gross revenues are expected to exceed \$500,000 per year.
- (22) Budgeted \$210 per month for the 2 existing phone lines based on 2008 actual costs. No amount has been budgeted for cellular.
- (23) Budgeted at \$300 per month for postage, copying, printing, office supplies, and miscellaneous office expenses.
- (24) No bad debt expense is projected for the rate period.
- (25) Rent for the use of facilities to Emerald Green POA of \$300 per month.
- (26) Budgeted at \$100 for miscellaneous bank charges including NSF charges and wire transfer charges.
- (27) Cable service discontinued in 2008.
- (28) AWWA membership \$68, NY Rural Water \$210; \$25 per month for other; and \$3,000 yearly fee for 24 hour phone support for tank trident system.
- (29) This line item was incorporated into office expense
- (30) The projected increase in expenses for the rate period beyond the individual estimate for calendar 2009 was made based upon the U.S. Department of Labor Statistics' estimate of the annual increase in the Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers, Water and Sewerage maintenance. See Schedule 2, page. 4.
- (31) The annual amortization of rate case expense and the unamortized balance in the rate year is shown on Schedule 4.
- (32) The annual depreciation expense is shown on Schedule 4.
- (33) Budget for real estate taxes other than school taxes is based on 2008 taxes of \$4,782.52+\$14.90+\$1.11+\$1.11, plus 10% for projected increase in 2009 taxes. Budget for school tax is based on August 2009 taxes of \$7,553.98+\$23.54+\$1.81+\$1.81, plus 10% for a projected increase in the 2009-2010 school year.
- (34) The amount of Federal and State income taxes were removed from the estimates since the requested increase is based upon a pre-tax rate of return.
- (35) Payroll taxes were estimated based upon plant operations payroll Social Security is 6.2% of wages paid and Medicare is 1.45% of wages paid, plus FUTA at 0.8% of first \$7,000 of wages paid per employee and SUTA at 9.425% of first \$8,500 of wages paid per employee.
- (36) The Revenue Deficiency is calculated on Schedule 5.
- (37) The computation of Rate Base is shown on Schedule 4 and is based upon the Rate Base calculated by Staff and adopted in PSC Case 06-W-1584.
- (38) The pre-tax rate of return requested is based upon the range of pre-tax returns recommended by the DPS's Office of Accounting and Finance pre-tax return for small water companies as reported in PSC Decision in Case 08-W-0081 Minor Rate Filing of Top O' The World Water Co., Inc., to increase its annual revenues by about \$54, 732 or 241%, December 16, 2008, page 13.

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. Percent Increase in Projected Costs and Rate Escalator

U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics

Data extracted on: May 29, 2009 (08:51 AM) Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers

Web Location: http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/srgate

Series ID CUUR0000SEHG01 Not Seasonally Adjusted Area: U.S. city average

Item: Water and sewerage maintenance

Base Period: 1982-84=100

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Ann |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1998 | 214.3 | 215.1 | 215.7 | 216.0 | 216.7 | 217.1 | 218.2 | 218.7 | 218.7 | 218.7 | 219.0 | 219.4 | 217.300 |
| 1999 | 220.1 | 220.4 | 220.9 | 221.2 | 221.5 | 221.6 | 222.2 | 222.8 | 223.0 | 223.3 | 223.2 | 223.4 | 222.000 |
| 2000 | 224.3 | 225.0 | 225.9 | 226.2 | 226.8 | 226.9 | 227.7 | 228.5 | 228.9 | 229.2 | 230.2 | 230.8 | 227.500 |
| 2001 | 231.1 | 231.8 | 232.9 | 233.1 | 233.6 | 233.6 | 234.6 | 236.0 | 236.5 | 236.8 | 237.1 | 237.8 | 234.600 |
| 2002 | 239.1 | 239.6 | 240.9 | 241.3 | 241.6 | 241.5 | 242.1 | 243.6 | 243.7 | 244.5 | 245.3 | 246.2 | 242.500 |
| 2003 | 246.6 | 246.9 | 249.1 | 249.2 | 249.6 | 249.8 | 251.1 | 253.6 | 254.5 | 255.6 | 256.8 | 257.8 | 251.700 |
| 2004 | 259.5 | 262.5 | 263.5 | 264.0 | 266.7 | 267.2 | 269.2 | 271.6 | 272.6 | 273.1 | 273.6 | 273.7 | 268.100 |
| 2005 | 277.5 | 279.6 | 280.5 | 281.0 | 281.6 | 282.5 | 284.4 | 285.6 | 285.6 | 286.4 | 287.6 | 288.8 | 283.400 |
| 2006 | 291.2 | 293.6 | 293.8 | 294.3 | 295.0 | 295.9 | 298.2 | 299.7 | 300.2 | 300.8 | 301.7 | 302.5 | 297.200 |
| 2007 | 305.367 | 307.203 | 308.184 | 308.901 | 310.001 | 310.542 | 314.165 | 315.830 | 316.390 | 316.869 | 318.703 | 319.460 | 312.635 |
| 2008 | 322.470 | 324.418 | 325.023 | 325.327 | 326.259 | 327.152 | 330.693 | 335.915 | 336.067 | 339.437 | 341.181 | 341.965 | 331.326 |
| 2009 | 344.374 | 345.232 | 346.222 | 347.420 | | | | | | | | | |

Rate Escalator for Rates Effective Sept 30, 2009 Annual Increase Based Upon Most Recent Data

Index as for Mar-08 325.023
Index as for Mar-09 346.222
Percent Increase to Mid-Point of Rate Year March 2010 6.52%

Total Operation and Maintenance for uninflated rate year \$412,760

Projected Increase in Expenses to the Rate Year 27,326

\$440,085

Inflation Adjustment \$28,694

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. Comparison of Combined Labor Costs and Contracted Operations

| | Emerald Green Pro Forma 12 Months Ended 6/30/2010 | Fishers Island Case 06-W-0446 12 Months Ended 12/31/2007 | Aqua Water-NY Inc. Case 08-W-0107 12 Months Ended 11/30/2009 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Number of Customers | 845 | 616 | 1,113 |
| Labor Related Expenses: | | | |
| Billing & Meter Reading | 0 | 12,134 | 0 |
| Customers Operations | 0 | 0 | 13,418 |
| Office Personnel | 2,426 | 21,503 | 0 |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 18,595 | 0 |
| Plant Operations Salary or Contract | 106,040 | 47,839 | 162,218 |
| Supervision & Management Salary or Contract | 60,840 | 62,390 | 39,078 |
| Subcontracted Labor | 0 | 12,191 | 0 |
| Insurance, Labor Related | | | |
| Medical, Life, Pension | 0 | 40,006 | 0 |
| Health Insurance | 5,928 | 0 | 0 |
| Insurance - Workers' Compensation | 6,591 | 0 | 0 |
| Insurance - Disability | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Payroll Taxes | 9,826 | 8,942 | 0 |
| Total Labor Related Expenses | \$191,669 | \$223,600 | \$214,714 |

Total Rate Base

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc.

Rate Base, Depreciation, and Amortizations

| | | | | | | Ann | Annual | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|------|-------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| Year | | | | Net Utility | | Deprc | Depreciation | Years In | Accumulated |
| Installed | Description | Per Book Cost | Contributions | Plant | Life | % | Expense | Service | Depreciation |
| 12/31/2003 | Water System | 240,179 | | 240,179 | | | \$4,604 | 6.0 | \$27,643 |
| 6/30/2006 | Pump House Expansion | 300,000 | 162,906 | 137,094 | 40 | 2.50% | \$3,427 | 3.5 | \$12,013 |
| 6/30/2006 | Filter Unit | 223,000 | 121,094 | 101,906 | 15 | 6.00% | \$6,114 | 3.5 | \$21,433 |
| | Total | \$763,179 | \$284,000 | \$479,179 | | | \$9,541 | | \$61,089 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Ra | te Base for Year Ending | 12/31/2009 | | | | | | | |
| Utility Plant | in Service | | | \$479,179 | | | | | |
| Less: | Accumulated Depreciatio | n | | 61,089 | | | | | |
| Net Plant | | | | \$418,090 | | | | | |
| Amortization | l | | | | | | | | |
| Rate Case E | Expense | | \$6,000 | | | | | | |
| Amortization Period (yrs.) | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Annual Amortization | | \$2,000 | | | | | | | |
| Average of | Amortization collected in | 1st rate year | 1,000 | | | | | | |
| Unamortize | d Balance | | | 5,000 | | | | | |

\$423,090

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc. Revenue Deficiency Calculation

| Rate Base | \$423,090 |
|--|-----------|
| Rate of Return | 11.5% |
| Utility Operating Income Required | \$48,655 |
| Less: Utility Operating Income at Current Rate | (96,934) |
| Utility Operating Income Deficiency | \$145,589 |
| Retention Factor | 98% |
| Additional Revenue Required | \$148,560 |
| (1) Retention Factor: | |
| Total | 100% |
| Less: | |
| Uncollectible Rate | 0% |
| Revenue Taxes: | |
| PSC Assessment | 2% |
| Total | 98% |
| Retention Factor | 98% |

Emerald Green Lake Louise Marie Water Company, Inc.

Revenue and Proposed Rates

| | Current Rates | | Propose | d Rates |
|--|----------------------|-----|-----------|----------|
| Current Annual Flat-Rate | \$454 | | | |
| Average Number of Customers in the Rate Year | 845 | | | |
| Base Revenue | \$383,630 | | | |
| Computation of Proposed Rate | | | | |
| Projected Revenue Under Current Rates | <u> </u> | | \$383,630 | |
| Additional Revenue Required | | | 148,560 | _ |
| Total Revenue Required | | | \$532,190 | • |
| Average Number of Customers in the Rate Year | | 845 | | |
| Projected Number of Year-Round Customers | 75% | 634 | | |
| Percent Revenue from Year-Round Customers | 80% | | \$425,752 | |
| Proposed Rate per Year-Round Customer | | | | \$671.53 |
| Projected Number of Seasonal Customers | 25% | 211 | | |
| Percent Revenue from Seasonal Customers | 20% | | \$106,438 | |
| Proposed Rate per SeasonalCustomer | _ | | | \$504.45 |
| | _ | 845 | \$532,190 | • |
| Proposed Rate per Customer | | | | |
| Year-Round | \$454 | | \$671.54 | 47.92% |
| Semi-Annual | | | \$335.77 | |
| Seasonal Rate | | | \$504.45 | |
| Revenue from Customer Classes | | | | |
| Revenue from Year-Round Customers | | | \$425,756 | |
| Revenue from Seasonal Customer | | | 106,439 | <u>-</u> |
| Annual Revenue Under Proposed Rates | | | \$532,195 | |
| Percent Increase | | | 38.7% | |