



national fuel

November 29, 2004

Hon. Jaclyn A. Brillig
Secretary
Public Service Commission
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Re: Case 03-M-0117, Compliance Filing

Dear Secretary Brillig:

National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation (“Distribution” or the “Company”) submits the following amendment to its tariff, PSC No. 8-GAS

Leaf No. 271 Revision 11

The proposed revision is issued as of today for an effective date of November 30, 2004. In support of this filing, the Company states as follows:

Description of Proposed Amendment

The proposed amendment modifies the Company’s service classification for ESCO aggregation service in response to the Commission’s order issued in the above-referenced case on October 25, 2004 (“Order”). The Order directed utilities, including Distribution, to revise provisions of their tariffs addressing charges for suspension of service at the direction of ESCOs. For Distribution, the Order directs that the tariff be modified to (1) remove language authorizing a suspension charge for “attempted” but not completed suspensions; and (2) add language to specify that the suspension charge will be reduced by 50% when a residential customer’s utility service is shut off on behalf of both the utility and the ESCO.

Reason for Proposed Amendment

The proposed amendment is submitted to comply with the provisions of the Order.

Newspaper Publication

The Commission waived the requirement for newspaper publication. Order at 11.

Hon. Jaclyn A. Brillling
November 29, 2004
Page 2

Special Note

Due to an oversight and the impact of office closings and absences resulting from the holidays, the Company was unable to electronically file the above amendment until the date hereof. All suspension fees assessed from November 25 forward, if any, will be applied according to the requirements of the Order. As a result, no party is prejudiced by the Company's delay. Staff was notified of this matter and registered no objection.

For all of the foregoing reasons, the Company respectfully requests that the above-listed amendment be approved as submitted.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael W. Reville