Received: 11/9/2000

..DID: 13710
..TXT:

CENTRAL HUDSON GAS & ELECTRIC CORPORATION
284 SOUTH AVENUE
POUGHKEEPSIE, NEW YORK 12601

November 9, 2000

Public Service Commission
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223-1350

Case 96-E-0909

Dear Commissioners:

In compliance with the Public Service Commission's Order Authorizing the Process for the Auction of Generation Plant and Modifying Prior Orders in Case 96-E-0909 Issued and Effective February 23,2000 Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation, ("Central Hudson" or "the Company") has revised its electric rate schedules to reflect the impact of the (pending) auction of its fossil generation and to provide retail access to all customers. Accordingly, Central Hudson is issuing the attached tariff leaves on November 9, 2000 with an initial effective date of February 1, 2001; however, the Company proposes to modify the effective date to coincide with a date one day following the closing of the fossil generation sales transaction.

P.S.C. No. 15 - Electricity

1st Revised Leaf No. 3
2nd Revised Leaf No. 4
2nd Revised Leaf No. 5
2nd Revised Leaf No. 14
2nd Revised Leaf No. 104
2nd Revised Leaf No. 105
2nd Revised Leaf No. 106
2nd Revised Leaf No. 107
2nd Revised Leaf No. 108
1st Revised Leaf No. 109
2nd Revised Leaf No. 123
2nd Revised Leaf No. 124
2nd Revised Leaf No. 136
2nd Revised Leaf No. 164
2nd Revised Leaf No. 165
2nd Revised Leaf No. 168
2nd Revised Leaf No. 169
3rd Revised Leaf No. 170
2nd Revised Leaf No. 171
Original Leaf No. 171.1
1st Revised Leaf No. 178
1st Revised Leaf No. 183
1st Revised Leaf No. 184
2nd Revised Leaf No. 185
2nd Revised Leaf No. 186
2nd Revised Leaf No. 199
2nd Revised Leaf No. 200
1st Revised Leaf No. 201
1st Revised Leaf No. 202
2nd Revised Leaf No. 204
4th Revised Leaf No. 205
2nd Revised Leaf No. 205.1
3rd Revised Leaf No. 206
1st Revised Leaf No. 207
2nd Revised Leaf No. 209
2nd Revised Leaf No. 210
2nd Revised Leaf No. 211
Original Leaf No. 211.1
2nd Revised Leaf No. 215
4th Revised Leaf No. 216
2nd Revised Leaf No. 217
4th Revised Leaf No. 218
1st Revised Leaf No. 218.1
2nd Revised Leaf No. 219
3rd Revised Leaf No. 220
1st Revised Leaf No. 221
1st Revised Leaf No. 222.1
1st Revised Leaf No. 223
1st Revised Leaf No. 226
2nd Revised Leaf No. 237

Received: 11/9/2000

1st Revised Leaf No. 194	2nd Revised Leaf No. 238
2nd Revised Leaf No. 239	2nd Revised Leaf No. 292
2nd Revised Leaf No. 246	2nd Revised Leaf No. 293
2nd Revised Leaf No. 247	2nd Revised Leaf No. 294
2nd Revised Leaf No. 253	2nd Revised Leaf No. 295
2nd Revised Leaf No. 254	2nd Revised Leaf No. 296
2nd Revised Leaf No. 255	2nd Revised Leaf No. 297
2nd Revised Leaf No. 256	2nd Revised Leaf No. 298
1st Revised Leaf No. 260	2nd Revised Leaf No. 299
1st Revised Leaf No. 261	2nd Revised Leaf No. 300
1st Revised Leaf No. 262	2nd Revised Leaf No. 301
1st Revised Leaf No. 263	2nd Revised Leaf No. 302
1st Revised Leaf No. 264	2nd Revised Leaf No. 303
1st Revised Leaf No. 265	2nd Revised Leaf No. 304
1st Revised Leaf No. 266	2nd Revised Leaf No. 305
1st Revised Leaf No. 267	2nd Revised Leaf No. 306
1st Revised Leaf No. 268	2nd Revised Leaf No. 307
1st Revised Leaf No. 269	2nd Revised Leaf No. 308
1st Revised Leaf No. 270	2nd Revised Leaf No. 309
1st Revised Leaf No. 271	2nd Revised Leaf No. 310
2nd Revised Leaf No. 273	2nd Revised Leaf No. 311
2nd Revised Leaf No. 274	2nd Revised Leaf No. 312
2nd Revised Leaf No. 275	2nd Revised Leaf No. 313
2nd Revised Leaf No. 276	2nd Revised Leaf No. 314
2nd Revised Leaf No. 277	2nd Revised Leaf No. 315
2nd Revised Leaf No. 278	2nd Revised Leaf No. 316
2nd Revised Leaf No. 279	2nd Revised Leaf No. 317
2nd Revised Leaf No. 281	2nd Revised Leaf No. 318
2nd Revised Leaf No. 282	2nd Revised Leaf No. 319
2nd Revised Leaf No. 283	2nd Revised Leaf No. 320
2nd Revised Leaf No. 284	2nd Revised Leaf No. 321
2nd Revised Leaf No. 285	2nd Revised Leaf No. 322
2nd Revised Leaf No. 286	2nd Revised Leaf No. 323
2nd Revised Leaf No. 287	2nd Revised Leaf No. 324
2nd Revised Leaf No. 288	2nd Revised Leaf No. 325
2nd Revised Leaf No. 289	2nd Revised Leaf No. 326
2nd Revised Leaf No. 290	2nd Revised Leaf No. 327
2nd Revised Leaf No. 291	2nd Revised Leaf No. 328

The Company has modified its existing retail access tariffs originally filed in compliance with the Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement")dated January 2, 1998 in Case 96-E-0909. When these delivery rates were established Competitive Transition Charges ("CTC") were also established. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the CTC rates were set at 50% of the Company's fixed production costs. In this filing, the Company proposes to cancel all existing retail access Service Classifications and replace existing full-service Service Classifications with delivery service rates identical to those currently in effect for retail access customers. In addition to charges for delivery service, customers will be charged a CTC. The existing retail access CTCs have been adjusted to reflect the sale of the Company's fossil generation. The CTC charges were further reduced to reflect the proceeds of the fossil auction which eliminate the entire Nine Mile Point 2 (NMP2) rate base. The resulting CTC charges include the costs attributed to the Company's hydroelectric and gas turbines and the operating expenses and taxes associated with NMP2. Customers electing to remain with Central Hudson for their energy requirements will be charged an Energy Supply Charge ("ESC") described below.

Received: 11/9/2000

Received: 11/9/2000

Cost of Service and Rate Design

The current retail access rates that became effective February 1, 2000 were based on a Central Hudson 1995 historical embedded cost of service (COS) study. The revised CTCs have been based on the same 1995 embedded study assuming that the Roseton and Danskammer Plants have been auctioned and that the auction proceeds have been used to write down the Company's investment in the nuclear generating station NMP2, to zero.

The inputs to the embedded COS program were modified to reflect the sale and write-off of the plants. Only the investment in hydroelectric and gas turbines were left in production plant rate base. Several other assumptions were made to simulate 1995 conditions without Roseton or Danskammer:

- 1995 own-territory sales revenue and revenue taxes would not have been affected by the lack of Roseton/Danskammer;
- 1995 resale revenues would have been zero because without Roseton or Danskammer the Company would have had no excess energy to resell;
- In 1995 the Company would have been able to buy energy from others at a price equivalent to the 1995 O&M costs for purchased power and Roseton, Danskammer and NMP2 fuel costs;
- In 1995, all other O&M related to Steam Generation would have been zero;
- For O&M Administrative and General expenses, Federal Income Taxes and Taxes Other Than Revenue Taxes, the 1995 values are replaceable with values filed by Company witnesses in Case 00-E-1273. In that case, Company witnesses present testimony and exhibits reflecting conditions after the sale of Roseton and Danskammer. Supplemental testimony and exhibits are likewise sources for surrogate 1995 deferred charges, deferred taxes and working capital.

Rate Discount Provisions

The S.C. Nos. 3 and 13 - Growth Incentive Discount provision was eliminated because it was originally designed to address the Company's underutilized generation capacity. The Business Retention Discount provision applicable to S.C. Nos. 2 and 3 was also eliminated as it was an extension of the aforementioned Growth Incentive Discount provision.

The five percent base rate discount and Energy Value Option Plan provisions of S.C. No. 13 have been eliminated consistent with the availability of retail access to all customers.

Received: 11/9/2000

Energy Supply Charge (ESC)

The Company has eliminated the Fuel Cost Adjustment and has established an Energy Supply Charge (ESC) which is designed to recover all costs incurred by the Company in providing electric power supply to full service delivery service customers. The ESC will be recalculated monthly and includes a provision for the reconciliation of ESC recoveries with actual costs so that differences may be refunded to or collected from customers as applicable.

Questions related to this filing should be directed to Maida J. Lewis who can be reached at (914) 486-5375.

Very truly yours,

Arthur R. Upright

cc: All Parties Case 96-E-0909